Journal of the Asiatic Society of Mumbai
ISSN : 0972-0766 UGC Care Group 1 Journal

THE EFFECT OF THE WORLDWIDE ECONOMIC DOWNTURN ON WORLDWIDE TEXTILE EXPORTS AND IMPORTS

Lalit Kumar Maurya
Research Scholar and Assistant Professor, Dept. of Economics, P.P.N. College Kanpur

Dr. Umesh Chandra Yadav
Associate Professor, Dept. of Economics, V.S.S.D. College Nawabganj Kanpur

ABSTRACT
The textile business is both one of the world's most established and greatest enterprises, and it is answerable for the work of billions of individuals. In this article, a work was made to research the impact that the worldwide downturn that started in the year 2008 had on the commodities and imports of materials all through the world. The level of the world's materials that were imported by China tumbled from 8.1 percent in 2011 to 6.6 percent in 2012. Then again, India too much in worldwide material imports from a pitiful 0.4 percent in 2001 to 1.1 percent in 2012. This increment came to fruition because of expanded material commodities. The seriousness of the downturn, the impacts of which were seen as soon as 2008, had an adverse consequence not just on the material imports of the United States of America yet additionally those of the European Union.
Keywords: Global exports, global imports, textile exports, textile imports

INTRODUCTION
The textile business is both one of the world's most established and greatest enterprises, and it is answerable for the work of billions of individuals. Clothing is one of humankind's most principal need, and the worldwide textile industry has a critical influence in addressing this need. In the eighteenth hundred years, the textile business all around the world was encountering prosperous times. In any case, challenges were being knowledgeable about the textile area about the center of the nineteenth hundred years. Especially during the 1950s, the worldwide textile industry experienced difficulties, for example, a decrease in its ability because of actual harm incurred continuously World War, a change in global governmental issues and political pulling together, a deficiency of buying power with respect to purchasers and end customers, and a lack of unfamiliar trade. The resurgence of the cotton business can to a great extent be credited to the commitments of four countries, to be specific the United States of America, the United Kingdom, Japan, and India (Karmakar 1951). Eventually, the industrialized countries lifted the prohibition on exchange textiles and articles of clothing that they had put on non-industrial nations for the past forty years, and the quantity furthest reaches of the Multi-Fiber Arrangement (MFA) terminated on January 1, 2005. Ordinary WTO guidelines are currently being applied to the exchanging of these things.

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY
In this article, a work was made to research the impact that the worldwide downturn that started in the year 2008 had on the commodities and imports of textiles all through the world. The United States of America and the European Union (EU27) were picked for this study since they are two of the major created countries (or blocks), and India and China were picked in light of the fact that they are two of the top arising nations.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

In their exploration named "Worldwide Financial Crisis and Recession: What Could Happen to Major Emerging Economies?," McKinley and Khurasee (2009) investigated this inquiry. conjecture what might occur in the future concerning the developing economies of China, Brazil, India, and South Africa. As indicated by the exploration, both South Africa and Brazil would extend their economies at a moderate pace of three to four percent. It was guessed that India will have the most horrendously terrible execution of the four economies during the monetary emergency and in the time following it, with a moderately sluggish development pace of 4-5 percent in GDP up until 2015.

In his article named "History and reasons for worldwide downturn," Jha (2009) laid forward the theoretical structure and history of the worldwide financial slump. The creator accentuated that a downturn is portrayed by a diminishing in the development of a country's GDP for at least two back to back quarters of the schedule year. At the point when the development pace of the worldwide economy falls under 3%, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) characterizes this as the start of a worldwide downturn. As per Merry Linch of the Global Investment Bank, this peculiarity is portrayed as a negative sign in the improvement of the economy for at least two back to back quarters throughout the span of a year. As indicated by the discoveries of the exploration, the overall downturn that started in 2008 and was driven by the United States was brought about by the "sub-prime" issue. 2009: Causes, Consequences and Policy Responses" called attention to that the worldwide monetary emergency quickly changed from the blasting of the lodging bubble in the United States to the most horrendously terrible downturn the world has at any point seen for more than sixty years. This change happened as an immediate consequence of the arrangement reactions that were carried out in light of the emergency.

In their review named "The effect of the worldwide monetary disturbance and downturn on Mediterranean nations' economies," Sturm and Sauter (2010) found that the significant consequences for the economies of this district have come through transmission channels related with the worldwide downturn. These diverts remember declines for sends out, oil incomes, the travel industry receipts, settlements, and unfamiliar direct speculation (FDI) inflows, with the decrease in trades up until this point seeming to have had the most grounded influence up until this point.

In their article named "Effect of Global Recession on Developed and BRIC Countries," Paul and Ichinoise (2010) featured that the emergency reaction measures were not satisfactory. Be that as it may, in light of this basic circumstance, the United States Government established the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, which approved the United States Secretary of the Treasury to spend up to US$700 billion to buy bothered resources, particularly contract supported protections, and make capital infusions I. Paul and Ichinoise's article additionally featured The journalists likewise directed out that the monetary emergency had extended toward different districts and had become incredibly genuine in rising countries as well as Japan and Europe. In his review named "Ongoing Global Recession and Indian Economy: An Analysis," Bhatt (2011) featured the way that during the worldwide monetary emergency, India was less impacted than different nations exclusively on the rear of the provincial area and because of the homegrown requests, severe financial guidelines, and the mentality of individuals in India. As indicated by the discoveries of the review, India should return to the Food-First regulation. This ought to be done not exclusively to ensure the food handling of the tremendous populace, yet additionally because of the way that the development of food will be more worthwhile considering the ongoing signs that the market for send out arranged business crops is contracting.

In his exposition named "Worldwide Recession - Impact of Global Meltdown on the Indian Economy," distributed in 2012, Pallav Das underlined the way that the overall monetary downturn, which started as a sub-prime emergency in the United States, has influenced every one of the economies, including India. The yearly speed of development of the GDP, which drifted around nine percent for the previous four years up to 2008, has dropped. Notwithstanding the way that there is adequate liquidity in the framework, the creator underscored that there has been a new decrease in the interest for bank advances.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

A work was attempted to research the overall commodities and imports of textiles all through the earlier ten years to get understanding into the impacts of the worldwide financial slump that started in 2008. The United States of America and the European Union (27) were picked as the two significant created economies for this investigation. China and India were picked as the two top creating economies for the review. The measurements relating to these economies' commodities and imports have been incorporated and investigated, and the outcomes should be visible in tables 1 and 2.

Table 1: Global exports of textile – Select (leading) economies

Year	China	G	%	EU (27)	G	%	India	G	%	USA	G	%	World	G
2001	16825.2	-	11.4	55816.0	-	37.8	5522.6	-	3.7	10474.0	-	7.1	147506.0	-
2002	20562.0	22.2	13.4	57497.0	3.0	37.4	5813.2	5.3	3.8	10662.0	1.8	6.9	153846.0	4.3
2003	26900.2	30.8	15.6	65541.0	14.0	38.0	6434.8	10.7	3.7	10885.0	2.1	6.3	172476.0	12.1
2004	33427.9	24.3	17.2	73103.0	11.5	37.6	7405.6	15.1	3.8	12026.7	10.5	6.2	194346.0	12.7
2005	41050.2	22.8	20.2	70468.0	-3.6	34.7	8331.5	12.5	4.1	12397.9	3.1	6.1	202838.0	4.4
2006	48677.9	18.6	22.3	73845.5	4.8	33.8	8880.0	6.6	4.1	12679.7	2.3	5.8	218511.0	7.7
2007	56032.2	15.1	23.5	81944.4	11.0	34.3	9616.6	8.3	4.0	12425.5	-2.0	5.2	238581.0	9.2
2008	65366.6	16.7	26.2	81306.6	-0.8	32.6	10372.3	7.9	4.2	12496.1	0.6	5.0	249618.0	4.6
2009	59823.5	-8.5	28.3	62992.0	-22.5	29.8	9110.5	-12.2	4.3	9930.6	-20.5	4.7	211089.0	-15.4
2010	76871.5	28.5	30.5	67881.0	7.8	26.9	12833.4	40.9	5.1	12169.5	22.5	4.8	252220.0	19.5
2011	94410.7	22.8	32.0	76958.9	13.4	26.1	15340.3	19.5	5.2	13790.9	13.3	4.7	294953.0	16.9
2012	95450.2	1.1	33.4	69366.2	-9.9	24.3	15273.9	-0.4	5.3	13485.3	-2.2	4.7	285668.0	-3.1

Source: http://wto.org/english/res_e/statis_e/

Table 1 makes it plentifully apparent that the development of the overall market for sent out textiles happened at an annualized pace of 6.19 percent all through the period 2001-2012. In 2001, China's commodity esteem was USD 16825.2 million, and its portion of the overall industry was 11.4 percent. By 2012, China's commodity esteem had move to USD 95450.2 million, addressing development at a yearly pace of around 17.09 percent. Be that as it may, the development rate was more noteworthy during the years 2001-2007, with a dumbfounding development pace of 22.20 percent. Notwithstanding, during the years 2007-2012, the development rate directed to 11.24 percent, exhibiting the adverse consequence that the worldwide downturn had on the commodity execution of China. It is fascinating to take note of that the development pace of India's textile commodities remained at 9.68 percent and 9.69 percent during 2001-2007 and 2007-2012 individually, demonstrating that India had the option to keep up with the development rate. Additionally, India expanded its portion of the worldwide textile commodities from simply 3.7 percent in 2001 to 5.3 percent in 2012 with an accumulated yearly development pace of 9.69 percent. In 2001, India's portion of the worldwide textile commodities was just 3.7 percent.

In 2001, the European Union had a portion of the overall industry of 37.8 percent, however by 2012, that rate had dropped to 24.3 percent. As an immediate consequence of this, the EU's commodities rose at a more slow speed, going from 55816 million US dollars in 2001 to 69366.2 million US dollars in 2012. Along these lines, the portion of the overall industry of the United States of America in the overall textile commodities tumbled from 7.1 percent in 2001 to 4.7 percent in 2012. In the years 2001 and 2012, the absolute worth of the United States of America's textile commodities was 10474 million bucks and 13485.3 million bucks, individually.

Table 2: Global imports of textile – Select (leading) economies

Year	China	G	%	EU (27)	G	%	India	G	%	USA	G	%	World	G
2001	12573.2	-	8.1	56210.0	-	36.0	653.4	-	0.4	15388.1	-	9.9	156071.0	-
2002	13059.8	3.9	8.0	57467.0	2.2	35.3	838.9	28.4	0.5	16953.4	10.2	10.4	162779.0	4.3
2003	14217.4	8.9	7.8	65734.0	14.4	36.0	1069.3	27.5	0.6	18251.0	7.7	10.0	182491.0	12.1
2004	15304.2	7.6	7.4	73348.0	11.6	35.7	1302.5	21.8	0.6	20662.4	13.2	10.0	205631.0	12.7
2005	15502.7	1.3	7.2	71642.0	-2.3	33.4	1932.0	48.3	0.9	22538.2	9.1	10.5	214617.0	4.4
2006	16357.9	5.5	7.1	76329.0	6.5	33.0	1988.0	2.9	0.9	23498.0	4.3	10.2	231200.0	7.7
2007	16644.7	1.8	6.6	85563.0	12.1	33.9	2140.5	7.7	0.8	24088.5	2.5	9.5	252436.0	9.2
2008	16288.6	-2.1	6.2	85101.0	-0.5	32.2	2385.9	11.5	0.9	23128.0	-4.0	8.8	264113.0	4.6
2009	14944.7	-8.3	6.7	66800.0	-21.5	29.9	2261.5	-5.2	1.0	19211.3	-16.9	8.6	223347.0	-15.4
2010	17678.6	18.3	6.6	73943.0	10.7	27.7	2790.4	23.4	1.0	23375.4	21.7	8.8	266866.0	19.5
2011	18900.5	6.9	6.1	83970.0	13.6	26.9	3393.4	21.6	1.1	25359.6	8.5	8.1	312080.0	16.9
2012	19809.9	4.8	6.6	74117.8	-11.7	24.5	3317.6	-2.2	1.1	25956.3	2.4	8.6	302256.0	-3.1

Source: http://wto.org/english/res_e/statis_e/

It is obvious from taking a gander at table 2 that the overall import of textiles was esteemed at USD 156071 million of every 2001 and had developed to USD 302256 million by 2012. This is a yearly accumulate development pace of 6.19 percent. In 2001, China was one of the major created economies, and the worth of textiles it imported was 125732 million US dollars; in 2012, that worth had expanded to 198099 million US dollars. During this time-frame, imports of textiles expanded at a rate that was lower than the rate at which overall textile imports expanded. This rate was a yearly accumulated development pace of 4.22 percent. As an immediate outcome of this, China's level of the world's complete textile imports tumbled from 8.1 percent in 2011 to 6.6 percent in 2012. Then again, India too much in worldwide textile imports from a pitiful 0.4 percent in 2001 to 1.1 percent in 2012. This increment came to fruition because of expanded textile commodities. The worth of textiles imported by India in the year 2001 was 653.4 million US dollars, and this figure expanded at a yearly accumulate development pace of 15.92 percent, arriving at 3317.6 million US dollars in the year 2012.

The worth of textiles imported by the European Union in the year 2001 was 56210 million US dollars, and continuously 2012, that worth had expanded to 74117.8 million US dollars, addressing a yearly development pace of 2.55 percent. During similar time-frame, brings into the United States of America expanded at a pace of 4.87 percent every year. In the year 2001, the United States of America imported textiles worth an aggregate of 153881 million US dollars; this figure moved to 259563 million US dollars in the year 2012. It is additionally plentifully clear that the seriousness of the downturn, the repercussions of which were felt as soon as 2008, adversely affected the commodities of textiles coming from both the European Union and the United States of America. Not out of the ordinary, the development pace of textile imports into the European Union was more noteworthy at 7.25 percent during the pre-emergency time of 2001-2007, however the extension rate was negative at - 2.83 percent during the emergency time of 2007-2012. The United States of America's textile imports expanded at a pace of 7.76 percent during the pre-emergency time of 2001-2007, however during the emergency time of 2007-2012, they just expanded at a pace of 1.50 percent, denoting a tumble from the past time frame. Subsequently, obviously, among the top merchants of textiles, the United States of America showed improvement over the European Union all through the length of the emergency.

CONCLUSION

The motivation behind the examination was to research the impacts of the worldwide financial slump on the commodity and import exercises of the worldwide textile area. To achieve this objective, auxiliary information from four of the world's most remarkable economies were gathered. The commodity and import measurements of the textile area were used for the exploration, which covered a time of 12 years starting in 2001 and finishing in 2012. Measurable techniques, for example, straightforward rate, yearly development rate, accumulated yearly development rate, and pattern investigation were utilized to look at the previously mentioned information. As indicated by the discoveries of the examination, the two commodities and imports of all economies have showed negative development in the years after 2008, giving proof to help the speculation that the worldwide downturn significantly affects the product and import of the worldwide textile area. As indicated by the discoveries of the exploration, to battle this emergency and rescue the textile area, the public authority needs to figure out arrangement estimates that are both present moment and long haul.

REFERENCES

[1]. Aziz, A. (2011). RMG Trading Scenario in India and Other Major Countries- Comparative performance and issues. International Journal of Business and Management, 267-275.
[2]. Balagopal, T. (1996). Export Management. New Delhi: Himalaya Publishing House.
[3]. Bhatt, R. K. (2011). Recent Global Recession and Indian Economy: An Analysis. International Journal of Trade, Economics and Finance, 2 (3), 212-217.
[4]. Biselli, M. (2009). China's role in the global textile industry. Shanghai: China Europe International Business School.
[5]. Gerald Albaum et al. (2008). International marketing and export management. New Delhi: Dorling Kindersley (India) Pvt. Ltd.
[6]. Griffin, R. W., & Ebert, R. J. (1995). Business. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
[7]. Jha, A. K. (2009). History and causes of global recession. Shodh, Samiksha aur Mulyankan (International Research Journal), 2 (7), 242-244.
[8]. Karmakar, S. (1951, March). World cotton textile trade and industry. The Economic Weekly, 301-303.
[9]. Mckinley, T., & Khurasee, N. (2009). Global Financial Crisis and Recession: What Could Happen to Major Emerging Economies? Centre for Development Policy and Research. London: School of Oriental and African Studies.
[10]. Ministry of textiles. (2013). Annual report 2012-13. Annual report 2012-13.
[11]. Pallav, D. (2012). Globa Recession - Impact of Global Meltdown on the Indian Economy. ABHINAV, 2 (3), 08-16.
[12]. Paul and Ichinoise. (2010). Impact of Global Recession on Developed and BRIC Countries. International Conference On Applied Economics, 597-602.
[13]. Sturm, M., & Sauter, N. (2010). The impact of the global financial turmoil and recession on Mediterranean countries' economies. Frankfurt: European Central Bank.
[14]. TEA. (2012, October). Tirupur knitwear sector's tough times. Asian Textile journal, p. 36.
[15]. Verick, S., & Islam, I. (2010). The Great Recession of 2008-2009: Causes, Consequences and Policy Responses. Bonn: IZA